



Clarifications to questions submitted under Open Call № 2 "Circular Economy and Resource Efficiency" under Outcome 3: "Improved Use of Resources at the Municipal Level (Circular Economy)" under the Environment Protection and Climate Change Programme of EEA Financial Mechanism

Applicants may submit their questions to the following e-mail: eea@moew.government.bg or through the Programme's website. The questions and answers will be published on the Programme's website, part of the EEA FM Single Information Portal for Bulgaria, as well as in EUMIS 2020. Questions may be submitted not later than 21 days before the deadline for project proposals submission. The Programme Operator (PO) answers the submitted questions no later than 14 days before the deadline for project proposals submission.

The clarifications given in respect of the Call do not express an opinion on the quality of the project proposal and are obligatory for all applicants. In order to ensure equal treatment of Applicants, the PO shall not give prior opinions on the compliance of an application or an applicant with the eligibility requirements.

The answers represent the official opinion of the Programme Operator and must be taken into consideration when submitting the project proposals by the Applicants.





	Natural persons/Legal entity submitted		
	the question, date of receipt	QUESTION	ANSWER
1.	Savremennost Foundation	A municipality has a relatively small amount	The Call provides only for de minismis aid
	13 th May 2020	up to the upper limit of de minimis. Can it	regime. The Call is not in the state aid
		increase its financial opportunities for	regime.
		application under Call №2 "Circular	
		Economy" under Outcome 3: "Improved use	
		of resources at the municipal level (circular	
		economy)" through the use of state aid?	
2.	Kostadin Yordanov	1. Please provide a clear opinion on whether	1. Applicant organizations should
	28 th May 2020	the funding under this Call of a project with a	distinguish between state and de minimis
		Project promoter "municipality" falls under	aid within the meaning of item 7 and item
		the "minimum aid" regime?	15 of the Additional Provisions of the State
			Aid Act. According to item 19. "State aid
		My question arises from the fact that in one	and de minimis" from the Application
		place in the Application Guidelines it is	Guidelines " the municipality will be
		mentioned that: "the assistance under the	considered as an enterprise carrying out
		procedure at the level of the Project	economic activities only for the project
		promoter-municipality does not constitute	activities and as such, the minimum aid
		state aid when it is related to the exercise of	rules described below will find
		the municipal authority to manage household	application".
		waste, generated on its territory. In cases	
		where the grant is granted to municipalities	
		to fulfill their powers arising from the Waste	
		Management Act, the provision of funds	
		constitutes a transfer between the budgets of	





executive authorities." On the other hand, again in the Application Guidelines it is written that: "Funding activities envisaged under the procedure are of an economic nature and there is an existing market for them. Therefore, all costs to the Applicant and / or his partner related to the implementation of the project proposal activities are of an economic nature and will be considered as de minimis aid." 2. No. The Call does not limit these Do the activities related to the activities to household waste only. The identification and implementation activities related to the identification and schemes by the municipality for recycling and implementation of municipal separate separate waste collection on its territory, collection and recycling schemes refer to only apply to household waste? waste for which national targets have been introduced.

3. Do the activities related to the identification and implementation of schemes by the municipality for recycling and separate waste collection on its territory, only apply to waste generated by

3. No. The Call refers to waste for which national targets have been introduced. The Programme operator will not give preliminary opinions on the compliance of an application or an Applicant with the





	households? Is it permissible, for example, to implement such schemes with regard to biodegradable waste generated from municipal public places (parks, riverbeds, etc.)?	application conditions.
	4. Is it permissible to purchase specialized equipment for collection and transportation of separately collected waste in connection with the implementation of project activities?	4. The Call is executed under the Regulation on de minimis aid, as according to the provisions of art. 3, para. 2, the de minimis aid shall not be used for the acquisition of road freight transport vehicles, including the transportation of waste.
	5. Is it permissible to carry out construction and installation works (for example, a site to place containers for separate collection) in connection with the implementation of project activities?	5. The Call does not set restrictions on the implementation of construction and installation activities in the projects. Applicants should familiarize themselves with the specific requirements related to construction and installation activities described in item 16, item 20 and item 21 of this Call.





3.	Valentina Koleva	When considering the Application Guidelines	Subjects of evaluation are different
	1 st June 2020	for Open Call №2 "Circular Economy and	schemes for recycling / separate waste
		Resource Efficiency", a question arose.	collection on the territory of one
			municipality.
		One of the expected results of the	
		Programme is "Implemented systems and	
		equipment for recycling/ separate collection	
		of waste".	
		The indicator that measures the achievement	
		of this objective is "Number of municipal	
		recycling/ separate collection schemes	
		implemented".	
		Accordingly, in the evaluation criteria the	
		implementation of 4 recycling schemes is	
		with the highest score. In this regard, the	
		question is: Is it about implementing four	
		different types of recycling / separate waste	
		collection systems or multiplying one	
		recycling / separate waste collection system	
		at four points?	
4.	Samokov Municipality	1. Item 7 of the Application Guidelines	
	05 th June 2020	"Demarcation with similar projects /	"Demarcation with similar projects /
		Programmes" states that "The eligible	Programmes" clarifies how this
		activities under the Call complement the	Programme relates to other existing
		envisaged measures and activities in the	Programmes, and in particular how it
		National Waste Management Plan in	complements them: "eligible activities
		Bulgaria for the period 2014-2020, in	under the Call complement the envisaged
		particular activities 4.1 and 4.12 of the Action	measures and activities in the National
		Plan of the National Waste Generation	Waste Management Plan in Bulgaria for





Prevention Programme and activity (measure) 4 of the Action Plan of the Programme for improving the capacity of the waste management institutions

The activities envisaged by the Applicants should be clearly distinguished from the envisaged measures and activities in the National Waste Management Plan for Bulgaria for the period 2014-2020, which will be implemented through other sources of funding."

Please specify whether the activities envisaged by the Applicants should be clearly distinguished from the measures and activities envisaged only in item 4.12 and 4.16 of the Action Plan of the National Waste Generation Prevention Programme and activity (measure) 4 of the Action Plan of the Programme for improving the capacity of the waste management institutions or should be clearly distinguished from any measures and activities envisaged in the National Waste Management Plan for the period 2014-2020.

the period 2014-2020, in particular activities 4.12 and 4.16 of the Action Plan of the National Waste Generation Prevention Programme and activity (measure) 4 of the Action Plan of the Programme for improving the capacity of the waste management institutions."

Then the demarcation with the National Waste Management Plan for Bulgaria for the period 2014-2020and the procedure "Implementation of demonstration projects in the field of waste management" under priority axis 2 "Waste" of the Operational Programme "Environment" 2014-2020 is clearly described, where eligible beneficiaries are also municipalities, namely: "The activities envisaged by the Applicants should be clearly distinguished from the envisaged measures and activities in the National Waste Management Plan for Bulgaria for the period 2014-2020, which will be implemented through other sources of funding. In order to avoid the risk of double funding, there should also be a clear distinction from projects funded under the procedure "Implementation of demonstration projects in the field of





2. Considering the fact that ". In order to avoid the risk of double funding, there should also be a clear distinction from projects 2. Information about the procedure is funded under the procedure "Implementation of demonstration projects in the field of waste management" under priority axis 2 "Waste" of the Operational Programme "Environment" 2014-2020, where municipalities are also eligible beneficiaries", please specify where information on the projects funded under this procedure can be found.

waste management" under priority axis 2 "Waste" of the Operational Programme "Environment" 2014-2020, where municipalities eligible are also beneficiaries.

available in FUMIS 2020.

"Eligible costs", and in particular "Eligible direct costs" on page 21, states that this category also includes "Project audit costs". On what basis are the project audit costs determined, is their amount defined?

3. Item 16 of the Application Guidelines 3. The audit refers to the project management activities as an activity necessary for the successful implementation of the project.

> Project audit costs should be included in project management costs as part of direct project costs. According to item 16 of the Application Guidelines "Eligible costs", and in particular "Eligible direct





		costs", management costs must not exceed 10% of the eligible direct costs of the project.
	4. Is the provision of a consulting service for the preparation of the project proposal an eligible cost?	4. No. The provision of a consulting service for the preparation of a project proposal is not among the eligible costs listed under this Call.
	5. Please specify whether these are a total of 4 "recycling schemes" and / or schemes for "segregated waste recycling" implemented and whether for each scheme for "segregated waste recycling" in the project proposal a "recycling scheme" should also be provided for.	5. 5. In order to receive the maximum number of points, the Applicant organizations should implement a minimum of 4 schemes for separate waste collection and their subsequent recycling.
		6. In order to achieve the indicators under the Call, the schemes implemented for the
	6. Please specify whether these "4 recycling / segregated waste recycling schemes implemented" should be implemented on the territory of each partner or the requirement	whole project proposal will be considered.
	is a total of 4 for the whole project proposal. 7. If a donor project partner is included in the project proposal, should its budget be	7. According to item 10 "Eligible partners" of this Call, the Application form must contain a detailed description of the project and budget, as well as the role of





		provided from the budget of the project proposal (EUR 200 000 - 400 000)?	the partners and the budget for the implementation of the partners' activities. When preparing the budget, Applicants should familiarize themselves with the document "Guidelines for Budget Completion under the Environment Protection and Climate Change Programme" published in the Documents for Information package of this Call.
5.	Kostadin Yordanov 11th June 2020	1. Is it permissible to implement a municipal scheme for recycling of construction waste within the project? 2. Is it possible to implement the scheme at the level of several Bulgarian partner municipalities -in the sense of creating a site to serve the three partner municipalities from Bulgaria (adjacent to each other in terms of territory) or can one site be established on the territory of each municipality?	1. Recycling schemes for all types of waste for which national targets have been introduced are eligible under this Call. 2. This Call does not impose restrictions on the local territorial distribution and implementation of project proposals. As stated in item 5 "Territory of implementation of the project" activities should be carried out on the territory of the Republic of Bulgaria as well as on the territory of the Donor States (Iceland, the Principality of Liechtenstein and the Kingdom of Norway), in the case of a partnership with an organization from one of these countries (s) under the project.





		3. Accordingly, is it permissible to purchase specialized equipment for construction waste recycling?	3. Equipment costs are eligible under this Call, in strict compliance with the requirements set out in item 16 "Eligible costs" and in particular "Eligible direct costs" (page 18 of this Call). You should keep in mind that a final opinion on the eligibility of equipment will be taken by the Selection Committee, which will have all the information on the project proposal.
6.	Municipality of Vratsa Kalina Georgieva 11 th June 2020	The recycling of municipal waste is a key measure in the implementation of European and national policies for the so-called circular economy and the efficient use of waste as a resource. The Municipality of Vratsa is 100% with organized collection of household waste. Since 2012, the municipality of Vratsa has a facility for pre-treatment of mixed household waste before disposal by separating the useful components. The installation is located at the regional landfill for non-hazardous waste, as part of the separated municipal waste is handed over for recycling. The principle and organization of collection and transportation of the mixed household stream, which is separated, presupposes a higher percentage of contaminated	The systems may provide for the separate collection of all waste groups for which national targets have been introduced.





recyclable waste. This significantly reduces the percentage of separated recyclable waste.

The review of the data of the morphological composition of the waste for the municipality of Vratsa shows that paper, glass, plastic and metals represent about 1/3 of the composition of the mixed household waste. The analyses of the municipal waste show that the quantities of separately collected waste, for which there is a report in the municipality, are still not enough for the municipality to achieve the annual goals for recycling of the municipal waste, i.e. recycling of at least 25%, etc. of the total waste from paper, cardboard, plastics, metals and glass. In this regard, the municipality will have to make additional efforts to achieve the goals set.

The collection of the "dry" mass presupposes the preservation of the quality of the resources and during transportation, reducing the possibility of additional pollution from organic matter and other waste.

One of the measures that the Municipality of Vratsa intends to implement is the separate collection of recyclable waste from the original source, by placing containers for separate collection of the recyclable mass





from the mixed household waste and recyclable waste from commercial, administrative, production and economic sites. They will again fall for separation in the Regional landfill site for non-hazardous waste installation and divided by types.

Our goals are:

- Improving the functioning of the system;
- Achieving a higher percentage of the objectives under Art. 31, para. 1;
- Facilitating the implementation of the requirements for separate collection of recyclable waste:
- Increasing the possibilities for monitoring the quantities of waste by types;
- Larger share of separated recyclable waste and its transfer for reuse;
 - Less deposited waste.

In connection with the above-mentioned, please clarify whether the organized system for separate collection of recyclable waste from the general household flow should be limited to waste from group 20 or the same can be combined with waste from group 15 from the same contractors?

2. On March 6, 2014, the Separation Installation at the Regional landfill site for non-hazardous waste p.i. 12259.788.1, Shumaka locality, land of the town of Vratsa,





came into operation. The same has an issued Permit for use № CT-05-611 / 01.04.2013 from the Directorate for National Construction Control / NCSD /.

Technical parameters: built-up area of the building - 1 921.05 m2; built-up area of a shed for temporary storage of baled waste - 218.46 m2.

Annually, about 22,000 tons of waste from Vratsa Municipality and Mezdra Municipality enter for separation.

The economic analysis for the period from 2014 to 2019 shows that the operation of the installation does not meet our expectations and the separation of the recyclable mass is 6.5-7% of the total household flow.

Currently, the separation plant is depreciated and often breaks down.

Upon inspection by specialists, Vratsa Municipality intends to improve the operation of the installation by replacing existing parts and putting into effect additional elements for the implementation of the technological process in order to increase the efficiency.

By allocating more suitable resources, we achieve sustainability in the process and actively contribute to their useful use.

We are increasing the share of waste that





		can be recycled, compared to those that are	
		incinerated or disposed of.	
		In connection with the above, please clarify	
		whether activities for overhaul of the	
		separation plant at the Regional landfill site	
		for non-hazardous waste are eligible, for the	
		implementation of which it is necessary to	
		prepare an investment project?	
7.	Kostadin Yordanov	Hello,	The criterion aims to assess the presence
' '	17 th June 2020	Themey	or absence of own (for the Project
		In evaluation indicator №7 "Organizational	promoter and the Partner / s) human
		structure for the implementation of the	resources for management and
		project." the highest number of points is	implementation both during and after the
		awarded in case "The project will be	completion of the project.
		implemented entirely with own human	
		resources".	
		Please explain in particular what this means?	
		For example:	
		- Does the above-mentioned affect the	
		requirement for only project employees to be	
		included in the Project Management Team?	
		Or of the Applicant and the Partner?	
		- Given that the Project Management Team	
		includes experts external to the Applicant /	
		Partner, the project cannot receive the	
		maximum number of points on this indicator?	





		Thank you!	
8.	Kostadin Yordanov 18th June 2020	Thank you! Hello, Please clarify the completion of the Application Form in the EUMIS regarding the location of the project, provided that the project is implemented in partnership between 2 or more municipalities from	The EUMIS functionality allows more than one location to be entered for a project. "Main data" section, "Location" (Location of the project) field is conditionally structured on 2 levels. Applicants should choose:
		Bulgaria and a partner from Norway and on the territory of all partners it is planned to implement activities on the project? As in section 1 " Main data" section "Place of implementation of the project", when filling in the fields "Municipality" or "Settlement", we could fill in data only for the partners - municipalities from Bulgaria.	 Level 1 - Type of location - Country / Protected area / NUTS level 1 / NUTS level 2 / District / Municipality / Settlement. Level 2 - From the drop-down menu the specific location according to the type of location already set in Level 1 should be selected. Below the drop-down menu there is an "Add" button. Through it, Applicants have the opportunity to
		When filling in the data in the "Country" field, can we fill in only Bulgaria and Norway, respectively, as a location? Please clarify which option is the most correct to use.	Applicants have the opportunity to add additional fields / drop-down menu to choose from.